Application No. 10/623,654

Amendment dated

Reply to Office Action of April 18, 2006 November 29, 2006

<u>REMARKS</u>

Favorable reconsideration of this application as presently amended and in light of the

following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 21-50 are pending in the present application. Claims 26, 27 and 34-50 have been

added by the present amendment.

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 33-49 were objected to; claims 26, 27, 40, 43-

45 and 47 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph; and claims 21-49 were

rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as anticipated Joo et al.

Regarding the objection to claims 33-49, the Office Action indicates there are two claims

33 and request claims 33, 33-49 be renumbered as 33-50, respectively. Accordingly, this change

has been made. Therefore, it is respectfully requested this objection be withdrawn.

Regarding the rejection of claims 26, 27, 40, 43-45 and 47 under 35 U.S.C. § 112,

second paragraph, the appropriate claims have been amended in light of the comments noted in

the Office Action. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested this rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 21-49 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as anticipated by Joo et al. This

rejection is respectfully traversed.

It is respectfully noted that Joo et al. has a filing date of August 30, 1999, which is later

than any of the claimed priority documents in the present application. This is also mentioned in

the first response filed on September 18, 2006. Accordingly, to remove Joo et al. as a reference,

enclosed are English translations of the claimed priority documents. Applicants respectively

submit the claimed subject matter is fully supported by the priority documents. Therefore,

because Joo et al. is no longer a reference, this rejection is moot.

In addition, it is respectfully requested this amendment be entered as no new issues have

been raised. That is, the claims have not been amended to overcome any cited art.

EHC/DAB/tg

Docket No.: 0465-1041P

9

Application No. 10/623,654

Amendment dated

Reply to Office Action of April 18, 2006 November 29, 2006

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in

condition for allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact David Bilodeau Reg. No. 42,325 at

the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite

prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies

to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37.C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.14; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: February 28, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Esther H. Chong

Registration No.: 40,953

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Docket No.: 0465-1041P

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicants

10

EHC/DAB/tg